Cell Metabolism

Article

ChREBP is activated by reductive stress and mediates *GCKR*-associated metabolic traits

Graphical abstract



Authors

Charandeep Singh, Byungchang Jin, Nirajan Shrestha, ..., Clary B. Clish, Vamsi K. Mootha, Russell P. Goodman

Correspondence

rpgoodman@mgh.harvard.edu

In brief

Singh et al. find that increased hepatic cytosolic NADH/NAD⁺ activates the transcription factor ChREBP. This pathway underlies some metabolic traits associated with common *GCKR* genetic variants, such as circulating FGF21 and triglyceride levels, and likely more generally influences certain deleterious metabolic traits in humans.

Highlights

- Increases in hepatic cytosolic NADH/NAD⁺ (reductive stress) change the hepatic transcriptome
- These transcriptional changes are mediated by ChREBP, which is activated by reductive stress
- GCKR's metabolic pleiotropy is explained in part by ChREBP's activation via reductive stress
- Multiple human metabolic traits may be influenced by a GCKR-NADH/NAD⁺-ChREBP-FGF21 axis



Cell Metabolism



Article

ChREBP is activated by reductive stress and mediates *GCKR*-associated metabolic traits

Charandeep Singh,^{1,2,13} Byungchang Jin,^{1,2,13} Nirajan Shrestha,^{1,2} Andrew L. Markhard,³ Apekshya Panda,^{3,4,5} Sarah E. Calvo,^{3,4,5} Amy Deik,⁴ Xingxiu Pan,⁶ Austin L. Zuckerman,^{6,7,8} Amel Ben Saad,⁹ Kathleen E. Corey,¹ Julia Sjoquist,¹ Stephanie Osganian,¹ Roya AminiTabrizi,¹⁰ Eugene P. Rhee,^{2,11} Hardik Shah,¹⁰ Olga Goldberger,³ Alan C. Mullen,⁹ Valentin Cracan,^{6,12} Clary B. Clish,⁴ Vamsi K. Mootha,^{3,4,5} and Russell P. Goodman^{1,2,14,*} ¹Liver Center, Division of Gastroenterology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA 02114, USA

²Endocrine Unit, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA 02114, USA

⁵Department of Systems Biology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA

⁶The Scintillon Institute, San Diego, CA 92121, USA

⁷Program in Mathematics and Science Education, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093

⁸Program in Mathematics and Science Education, San Diego State University, San Diego, CA 92120

⁹Division of Gastroenterology, University of Massachusetts Chan Medical School, Worcester, MA 01655, USA

¹⁰Metabolomics Platform, Comprehensive Cancer Center, the University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

¹¹Nephrology Division, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA 02114, USA

¹²Department of Chemistry, the Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, CA 92037, USA

¹³These authors contributed equally

¹⁴Lead contact

*Correspondence: rpgoodman@mgh.harvard.edu https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2023.11.010

SUMMARY

Common genetic variants in glucokinase regulator (*GCKR*), which encodes GKRP, a regulator of hepatic glucokinase (GCK), influence multiple metabolic traits in genome-wide association studies (GWASs), making *GCKR* one of the most pleiotropic GWAS loci in the genome. It is unclear why. Prior work has demonstrated that *GCKR* influences the hepatic cytosolic NADH/NAD⁺ ratio, also referred to as reductive stress. Here, we demonstrate that reductive stress is sufficient to activate the transcription factor ChREBP and necessary for its activation by the GKRP-GCK interaction, glucose, and ethanol. We show that hepatic reductive stress induces *GCKR* GWAS traits such as increased hepatic fat, circulating FGF21, and circulating acylglycerol species, which are also influenced by ChREBP. We define the transcriptional signature of hepatic reductive stress and show its upregulation in fatty liver disease and downregulation after bariatric surgery in humans. These findings highlight how a *GCKR*-reductive stress-ChREBP axis influences multiple human metabolic traits.

INTRODUCTION

Over 100 human traits and diseases are linked to a single glucokinase regulator (*GCKR*) allele, making it one of the most pleiotropic loci in the genome. Despite this, the mechanism underlying these associations remains largely unclear.¹

GCKR encodes glucokinase regulatory protein (GKRP), a predominantly liver-expressed protein that was first identified as a regulator of hepatic glucokinase (GCK) activity.² GCK is a low-affinity hexokinase whose biochemical catalytic activity is the phosphorylation of glucose to glucose-6-phosphate and that functions as a glucose sensor in multiple tissues, including those in the pancreas, pituitary gland, and liver. During fasting, GKRP sequesters GCK in the nucleus to prevent a futile metabolic cycle caused by the simultaneous activity of both GCK and glucose-6phosphatase (G6PC), the first step of glycolysis and the last of gluconeogenesis, respectively. $\!\!\!^3$

With the advent of genome-wide association studies (GWASs), common genetic variants in *GCKR* were among the first genetic loci to be associated with altered risk of diabetes.⁴ This was attributed to the rs1260326 *GCKR* variant, which encodes a P446L missense variant in GKRP that has been shown to influence GKRP's inhibition of glucokinase, resulting in higher GCK activity and hepatic glucose uptake.⁵ This led to multiple efforts to drug the GCKR-GCK interaction or target GCK activation as potential antidiabetic treatments, owing to their common property of decreasing circulating glucose.

Subsequent GWASs have demonstrated a remarkable number of other diverse traits linked to *GCKR* rs1260326, including multiple blood metabolites, diseases such as fatty liver disease⁶

³Howard Hughes Medical Institute and Department of Molecular Biology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA 02114, USA ⁴Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, MA 02142, USA



(legend on next page)

Cell Metabolism Article



and gout,⁷ circulating levels of FGF21,⁸ and behavioral traits such as coffee⁹ and alcohol consumption,¹⁰ among over 100 other traits.¹ In recent work, we demonstrated that *GCKR* influences the hepatic NADH/NAD⁺ redox potential (NADH reductive stress) and that the modulation of hepatic reductive stress could influence many traits associated with *GCKR* variants, such as plasma FGF21 levels, through an unclear mechanism.¹

Here, we provide evidence that *GCKR*'s metabolic pleiotropy can, in part, be explained via the action of the transcription factor ChREBP (carbohydrate response element binding protein, gene name *MLXIPL*). ChREBP was first identified as a hepatic transcription factor that was responsive to alterations in glucose levels in an insulin-independent manner¹¹ and has subsequently been shown to also be activated by fructose,¹² glycerol,¹³ and ethanol,¹⁴ although the precise metabolic underpinnings of its regulation remain unclear.

We demonstrate the dependence of the activation of ChREBP on changes in cytosolic NADH/NAD+ by using a combination of four experimental methods applied in vitro and/or in vivo: (1) expression of LbNOX,¹⁵ a bacterial enzyme, which lowers NADH/NAD⁺; (2) expression of *EcSTH*,¹⁶ a bacterial enzyme, which raises NADH/NAD+; (3) ethanol gavage, which raises NADH/NAD⁺; and (4) manipulation of extracellular lactate/pyruvate ratios, which are in equilibrium with cytosolic NADH/ NAD⁺. We show that increased cytosolic NADH/NAD⁺ is sufficient for ChREBP activation and necessary for its activation by ethanol, glucose, and the inhibition of the GKRP-GCK interaction. We define the in vivo hepatic transcriptional signature of reductive stress and demonstrate that it is largely mediated by ChREBP activation. We also find that metabolic traits associated with GCKR genetic variants are influenced by ChREBP. We extend our observations to human disease showing that hepatic reductive stress, reflected in the blood levels of the hepatic NADH/NAD⁺ biomarker α -hydroxybutyrate (α HB), and the transcriptional signature of reductive stress are metabolic features of patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), suggesting reductive-stress-dependent ChREBP activation as a cardinal feature of common human metabolic disease.

RESULTS

Hepatic reductive stress alters the liver transcriptome

In prior work, we used ethanol gavages and the hepatic expression of *Lactobacillus brevis* H₂O-forming NADH oxidase (*Lb*NOX) to raise and lower the hepatic cytosolic NADH/NAD⁺ ratio (Figures 1A–1C).¹ In this model, we previously reported that the ethanol-induced upregulation of circulating FGF21 was entirely dependent on elevated hepatic reductive stress,¹ which, in subsequent experiments, we found to correlate with hepatic FGF21 mRNA levels in unpublished data. To comprehensively characterize how hepatic reductive stress altered the hepatic transcriptome, we first performed RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) on the mice livers from this experiment and in a second experiment where we used adenovirus-mediated hepatic expression of a novel genetically encoded tool based on *E. coli*-soluble transhydrogenase (*E*cSTH)¹⁶. *E*cSTH couples the oxidation of NADPH to the generation of NADH from NAD⁺ and provides a second independent method of generating hepatic reductive stress in addition to gavaging mice with ethanol (Figures 1A–1C).¹⁶

We identified 160 NADH/NAD⁺-responsive genes as those genes whose expression was increased by ethanol but not ethanol and *Lb*NOX together and that were additionally increased with hepatic *Ec*STH expression (Figure 1D; Table S1; see STAR Methods). Examples of such genes include the hepatokine *Fgf21*, which has pleiotropic effects on organismal metabolism¹⁷; Acetyl-CoA carboxylase (*Acaca*), a rate-limiting enzymatic step in *de novo* lipogenesis; and *Pnpla3*, a triacylglycerol lipase implicated in the pathogenesis of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (Figure 1E).¹⁸

We next confirmed that the transcriptional changes in our ethanol/*Lb*NOX experiment were not simply an artifact resulting from alterations in ethanol metabolism (Figure S1A). To confirm that it was alteration in NADH/NAD⁺ but not ethanol or its other downstream metabolites that was responsible for the observed transcriptional changes, we measured α HB and acetate in both our *Lb*NOX/EtOH model and an ethanol gavage model in the presence or absence of fomepizole, an alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) inhibitor (Figures S1B and S1C). Only α HB, a biomarker of hepatic NADH/NAD⁺¹, correlated with the activation of NADH-responsive genes from our RNA-seq dataset, and fomepizole inhibited both the generation of α HB by ethanol metabolism and the activation of NADH/NAD⁺-sensitive genes (Figures S1D–S1G).

ChREBP mediates reductive-stress-dependent hepatic transcriptional changes

Our data led us to hypothesize the existence of an NADH/NAD⁺responsive transcription factor, which we aimed to identify via over-enrichment analysis on our 160 mouse NADH/NAD⁺responsive genes using WebGesalt.¹⁹ The top pathway identified was the activation of ChREBP (gene name *Mlxipl*), a lipogenic glucose- and ethanol-responsive transcription factor (Figure 2A).²⁰ To confirm that ChREBP mediated the NADH/NAD⁺dependent transcriptional changes in our system, we performed RNA-seq on the livers of ethanol (EtOH)-gavaged wild-type and ChREBP knockout (KO) mice and compared this with our *Lb*NOX/EtOH and *Ec*STH RNA-seq datasets. We first examined the transcript levels of several known ChREBP targets^{12,21} (Figure 2B), which demonstrated a pattern consistent with ChREBP-dependent activation in both datasets, as did a comparison of the NADH-responsive gene set from Figure 1D in our

Figure 1. Increased cytosolic NADH/NAD⁺ induces hepatic transcriptional changes

⁽A and B) Schematic of the effects of LbNOX, EcSTH, and ethanol on NADH and NAD⁺ and α -hydroxybutyrate in the liver.

⁽C) A combination of ethanol gavages, hepatic *Lb*NOX, and *Ec*STH expression raises or lowers hepatic NADH/NAD⁺, as measured by circulating α -hydrox-ybutyrate. *Lb*NOX/EtOH data were previously reported in Goodman et al.¹

⁽D) Hepatic RNA-seq demonstrates that approximately 160 transcripts are NADH/NAD⁺ responsive.

⁽E) Examples of NADH/NAD⁺-responsive genes include *Fgf21*, *Acaca*, and *Pnpla3*. Values denote mean \pm SEM unless otherwise noted. n = 4–8 (C), 3–5 (D), or 4 (E) per group. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, and ***p < 0.001.

CellPress

Cell Metabolism Article



(legend on next page)

Cell Metabolism Article

*Lb*NOX/EtOH and ChREBP-KO/EtOH gene sets (Figure 2C). A comparison of genes in each experiment whose activation via alcohol was prevented by either *Lb*NOX or ChREBP KO overlapped significantly (p < 1e–5, Figure 2D), with a majority of transcripts requiring ChREBP to be upregulated by reductive stress. *MLXIPL* was further highly ranked using transcription factor enrichment analysis²² of this overlapping set (rank 3/1632, Figure 2E), although not in the non-overlapping set (Figure 2F). Together, these data confirm *in vivo* NADH/NAD⁺-mediated activation of hepatic ChREBP. We note that as not all reductive-stress-responsive transcription factors might contribute to the transcriptional signature of reductive stress.

ChREBP is activated by reductive stress

To confirm that elevated cytosolic NADH/NAD⁺ activates ChREBP, we first measured ChREBP activation in primary hepatocytes with different cytosolic NADH/NAD⁺ levels. Since cytosolic NADH/NAD⁺ is in equilibrium with the external lactate/pyruvate (L/P) ratio,²³ we perturbed the system by "clamping" it with different quantities of lactate and pyruvate in the media (Figures 3A–3C). We observed that higher cytosolic NADH/ NAD⁺ ratios resulted in higher α HB production (Figure 3B), consistent with our prior observation that α HB is a biomarker of hepatic cytosolic NADH/NAD^{+.1} It also resulted in increased ChREBP transcript abundance (Figure 3C).

We then confirmed these findings in a second cellular system employing a luciferase reporter system measuring ChREBP activity via the insertion of a synthetic construct of three sequential carbohydrate response elements (ChoREs) upstream of luciferase gene expression (Figure 3D). The expression of ChREBP (Figure 3E), along with MLX, ChREBP's heteromeric partner, was sufficient to activate the reporter system (Figure 3F), which was additionally responsive to glucose media levels (Figure 3G). LbNOX expression in our reporter system, which lowers the intracellular NADH/NAD⁺ ratio as reflected in a lowered lactate/ pyruvate ratio (Figure 3H), resulted in markedly lower ChREBP activity (Figure 3I). As an alternative method to increase the NADH/NAD⁺ ratio, we used *E. coli*-soluble transhydrogenase (EcSTH). In our system, this both increased the lactate/pyruvate ratio (Figure 3J) and increased ChREBP activity (Figure 3K). Together, these data indicate that an elevated cytosolic NADH/ NAD⁺ ratio is both necessary and sufficient to activate ChREBP.

ChREBP activation via reductive stress correlates with increased intracellular triosephosphates

The precise metabolic mechanism underlying ChREBP activation is unclear, although it is generally assumed to be via alterations in the abundance of an intracellular metabolite that influences ChREBP activity either directly or indirectly. Multiple candidates have been proposed, including xylulose-5-phosphate (X5P),²⁴ glucose-6-phosphate (G6P),^{25,26} fructose 2,6-bisphosphate,²⁷ and more generally hexose-phosphate and triose-phosphate pools.^{13,28} To examine how reductive stress influences these metabolites, we performed a combination of targeted and untargeted intracellular metabolomics on HEK 293T cells in which ChREBP activity was perturbed in five different ways with a combination of GCK, LbNOX, and EcSTH expressions (Figures 4A and 4B). We then calculated the correlation of ChREBP activation with the relative abundance of approximately 270 intracellular metabolites using LC-MS measurements, as well as a GC-MS protocol optimized for the measurement of sugar phosphates such as G6P and Xu5P. These sugar phosphates are difficult to distinguish from isomers such as fructose-6-phosphate and pentose/pentulose-5-phosphate on HILIC-MS platforms due to retention time overlap and identical fragmentation patterns (Table S2). We found the triosephosphates glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate (GAP) and glycerol-3-phosphate (G3P) were among the top metabolites correlated with ChREBP activity, as were NADH and Fructose 1,6-bisphosphate (Figures 4C-4F). In contrast, we did not find the sugar phosphates G6P and X5P (Figures 4G and 4H) well correlated with ChREBP activity. Both GAP and G3P have clear links to cytosolic NADH/NAD+ via the activities of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GADPH) and glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GPDH) (Figure 4I). Our data suggest that reductive stress may activate ChREBP via an increased perturbation of phosphate ester glycolytic intermediates through NADH/NAD⁺ modulation of the activity of GAPDH and/or GPDH.

A GCKR-GCK axis activates ChREBP in an NADH/NAD⁺dependent manner

A common *GCKR* P446L variant increases hepatic NADH/NAD⁺, as evident by its association with increased serum αHB levels in humans,²⁹ and in prior work, we demonstrated that *GCKR* expression altered the hepatic NADH/NAD⁺ ratio, likely as a result of alterations in GCK activity.¹ This led us to hypothesize that the modulation of the *GCKR*-GCK axis could influence ChREBP activity via alterations in the hepatic NADH/NAD⁺ ratio, which we tested in three different cellular systems.

We first tested this in the hepatocyte cell line HepaRG, a hepatic progenitor cell line with the ability to differentiate into hepatocyte-like and biliary-like cells with close functional resemblance to primary human hepatocytes, which has been used in the functional metabolic characterization of steatosis and fibrosis.^{30,31} We confirmed that *GCKR* overexpression decreased cellular cytosolic NADH/NAD⁺, as evidenced by decreased media lactate/pyruvate, (Figure 5A), with a corresponding decrease in ChREBP transcript abundance (Figure 5B).



CellPress

Figure 2. ChREBP mediates NADH/NAD+-dependent hepatic transcriptional changes

⁽A) Overrepresentation analysis of NADH/NAD⁺-sensitive transcripts identifies ChREBP-related pathways.

⁽B) Transcript abundance of ChREBP canonical targets *G6pc*, *PkIr*, *Acly*, *Fasn*, and *Me1* in the context of ±LbNOX ±ethanol gavages (top row), *EcSTH/GFP* (middle row), and ChREBP WT/KO ± ethanol gavage (bottom row) experiments.

⁽C) Mean z score of gene expression of NADH/NAD⁺-responsive genes in different mouse experiments.

⁽D) Overlap of NADH/NAD+-responsive genes and ChREBP/ethanol-responsive genes.

⁽E and F) (E) Chea3 transcription factor enrichment analysis (TFEA) of 1,632 transcription factors in the overlapping (N = 116 genes) and (F) non-overlapping NADH/NAD+- and ChREBP/EtOH-responsive gene sets. Data are mean \pm SEM unless otherwise noted. n = 3–5. *p < 0.05, * p < 0.01, and ***p < 0.001.

CellPress

Cell Metabolism Article



Figure 3. Elevated cytosolic NADH/NAD⁺ activates ChREBP

(A–C) Relative (A) final lactate/pyruvate ratio, (B) α HB, and (C) ChREBP mRNA in primary hepatocytes from n = 3 mice with different clamped media lactate/ pyruvate ratios.

(D) Design of a ChREBP/luciferase reporter construct.

(E-G) (E) ChREBP expression in 239T cells, with (F) relative luciferase activity with and without ChREBP and (G) with different glucose concentrations.

(H and I) (H) Effect of LbNOX expression on media lactate/pyruvate ration and (I) ChREBP activity.

(J and K) (J) Effect of EcSTH on media lactate/pyruvate levels and (K) ChREBP activity in HEK 293T cells.

p values were determined using unpaired, Student's t test (F, H, I, K, L) or one-way ANOVA (G). Data are mean ± SEM unless otherwise noted. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, and ***p < 0.001. n = 3 for all experiments except for (H) (6) and (J) (7).



Figure 4. Metabolic perturbations of ChREBP activity correlate with intracellular phospho esters (A) Luciferase activity of ChREBP reporter system after transfection with different metabolic enzymes. (B) PCA of intracellular metabolites.

(C–F) Correlation of intracellular (C) glyceradehyde-3-phosphate, (D) fructose 1,6-bisphopshate, (E) NADH, and (F) glycerol-3-phosphate.

(G and H) (G) Xylulose-5-phosphate and (H) glucose-6-phosphate.

(I) Intracellular NADH/NAD⁺ are linked to F1, 6BP, GAP, and G3P via the dehydrogenases GAPDH and GPDH. Data are mean ± SEM unless otherwise noted. n = 4 for all experimental groups.

We next examined the relationship between ChREBP activity and GCK and *Lb*NOX expression in our luciferase reporter system in the HEK293T cell line. We found that GCK expression increased ChREBP activity, although this was prevented by simultaneous *Lb*NOX expression (Figure 5C).

Finally, we tested the effect of the *GCKR* SNP rs1260326 (P446L) on the expression of our NADH/NAD⁺-responsive gene set in a previously reported RNA-seq data from a large set of human liver biopsies (Figure 5D)³³ and from human liver

organoids derived from iPSC cells with different *GCKR* genotypes (Figure 5E).³² We found significant enrichment of our gene set, confirming the transcriptional impact of this common variant.

EcSTH and ChREBP activity influence GCKR-associated metabolic traits

Our data led us to hypothesize that some *GCKR*-associated metabolic traits identified through GWASs might be attributable

CellPress



to reductive-stress-mediated ChREBP activation, which we tested with our GFP/EcSTH and EtOH/ChREBP in vivo experiments. We focused on FGF21 and lipid traits, which we had previously demonstrated were downstream of hepatic reductive stress,¹ and confirmed that reductive stress induced by EcSTH was sufficient to induce an increase in circulating FGF21 levels and hepatic fat accumulation (Figures 6A and 6C). We further found that ethanol's influence on FGF21 was entirely dependent on ChREBP (Figure 6B) and partially dependent on its influence on total hepatic triglycerides (Figure 6D). We measured the effect of ChREBP on ethanol's ability to influence multiple specific triglyceride and diacylglyceride species, some of which (e.g., TAG 48:2, TAG 48:3, and DAG 34:1) are formally associated with GCKR in GWASs,²⁹ and in each case, we found a direction consistent with human GCKR genetics (Figures 6E-6H). We note that ChREBP knockout was unable to fully prevent ethanol's increase in nearly all TAG and DAG species abundance. We speculate that this may be related to the activity of delta-5 and delta-6 desaturates (D5D/D6D), which increase the abundance of TAG and DAG species containing double bonds with increases in the cytosolic NADH/NAD+ ratio.34 This activity would be expected to be increased by ethanol (a source of NADH) but not influenced by ChREBP.

Not all *GCKR*-associated traits we tested could be explained via ChREBP activation. For example, multiple glycerophospholipid species, such as phosphatidylcholines and phosphatidylethanolamines, are associated with human *GCKR* genetic variation,^{29,36} but these specific lipid traits did not appear to be influenced via reductive stress or ChREBP (Figure S2), suggesting that they were instead influenced by ChREBP-independent metabolic effects of the GKRP-GCK interaction.

Cell Metabolism Article

Figure 5. Effect of GCKR and GCK on ChREBP and NADH/NAD⁺

(A and B) Effect of *GCKR* overexpression on (A) media lactate/pyruvate ratio and (B) ChREBP transcript abundance in HepaRG cells.

(C) Effect of GCK expression with and without *Lb*NOX on ChREBP reporter activity in HEK 293Ts. (D and E) (D) Preranked GSEA of the NADH/NAD⁺ response gene set in RNA-seq with *GCKR* rs1260326 T/T (GKRP 446L/446L) versus C/C (GKRP 446P/446P) genotypes in human liver biopsies and (E) human liver organoids with different *GCKR* gneotypes.³² Data are mean \pm SEM unless otherwise noted. *p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, and ***p < 0.001. n = 3 (A, B, and D), 4 (C), or 9 (E).

Metabolic traits associated with GCKR, MLXIPL, and FGF21 genetic variants overlap

Because our data outline a causal chain from *GKCR* to *MLXIPL* to *FGF21*, we revisited the existing GWAS data to assess to what extent these loci demonstrate similar trait associations. To do this, we utilized the Type 2 Diabetes Knowledge Portal,³⁷ which aggregates genetic datasets related to type 2 diabetes and associated traits. We found a substantial overlap, with nearly

two-thirds (58/89) of the traits associated with *GCKR* also associated with *MLXIPL* and a majority of *FGF21* traits also being associated with both *MLXIPL* and *GCKR* (Figure 6I).

Whereas certain *GCKR*-associated metabolic traits such as hepatic triglycerides have clear links to the liver, others such as the renal function marker cystatin C or platelet size do not. Our results raise the possibility that some of these other, extra-hepatic *GCKR*-associated traits could be mediated through its effect on FGF21. Although we acknowledge that experimental validation is required, we note that the directionality of effects in GWASs is internally consistent.

The transcriptional signature of hepatic reductive stress is present in patients with fatty liver disease

Having demonstrated that GCKR loss of function activates ChREBP via alterations in hepatic NADH/NAD⁺, we hypothesized that hepatic reductive stress might represent a metabolic mechanism for hepatic fat accumulation in humans. To test this, we first measured circulating aHB, a liver-specific biomarker of elevated hepatic reductive stress,^{1,38} in patients with NAFLD in a well-characterized patient cohort. We found that aHB was significantly elevated compared with healthy controls (Figure 7A). Elevated reductive stress was also associated with increased FGF21 and circulating triglycerides, both of which are established clinical associations with NAFLD³⁹ (Figures 7B and 7C) and consistent with our findings in mice. We then analyzed previously published hepatic RNA-seq datasets from patients with NAFLD for evidence of our transcriptional signature of reductive stress and found significant enrichment via gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA)^{40,41} in 6 different datasets (Figure 7D). This included ambulatory patients with and without NAFLD⁴²; patients who developed hepatic steatosis after liver transplantation⁴³; and patients before and after

Cell Metabolism Article

CellPress



Figure 6. EcSTH and ChREBP influence GCKR-associated metabolic traits

(A-D) Circulating FGF21 is influenced by hepatic EcSTH (A) and ethanol via ChREBP (B), as are hepatic triglycerides (C and D).

(E) (Top): serum triglyceride species Z score by genotype and gavage, (bottom) p and b value of the effect of *GCKR* rs1260326 on triglyceride species taken from Rhee et al.³⁵ * indicates TAG species formally linked to *GCKR* genetic variation in human GWASs.

(F-H) Specific TAG species associated with GCKR rs1260326 in humans and the influence of alcohol and ChREBP on its abundance.

(I) Overlap of GCKR-, FGF21-, or MLXIPL-influenced traits from Type 2 Diabetes Knowledge Portal. Data are mean ± SEM unless otherwise noted. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, and ***p < 0.001.

bariatric surgery,⁴⁴ where circulating α HB is the top changing serum metabolite⁴⁵ and which generally improves or resolves NAFLD.⁴⁶ Together, these data support a role for reductive stress in mediating metabolic traits associated with *GCKR* variants such as fatty liver, circulating triglycerides, and FGF21.

DISCUSSION

Motivated by the observation that circulating α HB is a biomarker of hepatic cytosolic reductive stress, we previously demonstrated that *GCKR*, which has a common variant that influences

0.004

0.02

<0.001

CellPress

Cell Metabolism Article



dbGAPp

GSE48452

GSE83452

E-MTAB-11688



Figure 7. aHB, FGF21, serum triglycerides, and the transcriptional signature of hepatic Serum Triglycerides reductive stress is increased in patients with fatty liver disease

(A-C) (A) Serum αHB, (B) FGF21, and (C) triglycerides are elevated in patients with NAFLD compared with healthy controls. p values calculated from Welch's t test.

(D) The transcriptional signature of reductive stress is upregulated in multiple human liver genomic datasets of patients with NAFLD. In the box and whisker plots, the horizontal line represents the median; the top and bottom of the box are the 75^{th} and 25^{th} percentiles, respectively; and the top/bottom error bars reflect the largest/smallest value within 1.5 times of the interquartile range beyond the 75th/25th percentile. ***p < 0.001.

(E) Proposed model describing how hepatic NADH/ NAD+ influences metabolic traits.

D

Gene Set	Cohort	NADH/NAD+ responsive GSEA Enrichment Score	NADH/NAD+ responsive GSEA Enrichment P value
GSE130970	NAFLD/No NAFLD	1.31	0.001
GAPphs001807	NAFLD/No NAFLD	1.28	0.03
GSE135251	NAFLD/No NAFLD	1.78	<0.001

1.4

1.4

1.58

Bariatric Surgery

Bariatric Surgery

NAFLD/No NAFLD after liver

transplant

GSEA of NADH/NAD+ responsive genes in Human Liver Genomic Data sets

Е



Cell Metabolism Article

circulating α HB, increases hepatic reductive stress.¹ With the use of *Lb*NOX, we were further able to demonstrate that certain metabolic traits associated with *GCKR* via GWASs could be influenced via reductive stress. The mechanistic underpinnings of how reductive stress can influence some of these traits are clear. For example, α HB is produced from α -ketobutyrate via lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) and coupled to the oxidation of NADH to NAD⁺; thus, alterations in the NADH/NAD⁺ levels would be expected to directly influence α HB.⁴⁷ How reductive stress can influence many other traits, such as circulating FGF21 levels, is less clear. In this work, we provide data to support the conclusion that multiple metabolic traits linked to *GCKR* are mediated by NADH/NAD⁺-dependent activation of the transcription factor ChREBP. This is significant for several reasons.

First, it demonstrates a novel metabolic mechanism by which ChREBP activity is modulated. ChREBP is traditionally viewed as a carbohydrate-responsive transcription factor, as it is activated by both glucose¹¹ and fructose,¹³ although it can also be activated by other metabolites such as ethanol¹⁴ and glycerol.¹³ Our data suggest that its activation by glucose and ethanol is directly dependent on a common downstream elevation in cytosolic NADH/NAD⁺. Importantly, as the cytosolic NADH/NAD⁺ ratio is a central metabolic parameter that is coupled to multiple facets of hepatic metabolism,48 our findings greatly expand upon the metabolic contexts in which ChREBP activity might be influenced. We additionally found that the perturbation of ChREBP activity in multiple ways, including via NADH/NAD⁺ manipulation, leads to alterations in intracellular phosphates, of which triosephosphates are among the highest correlated with ChREBP activity (Figure 4). Triosephosphates are linked to NADH/NAD⁺ via GAPDH and GPDH (Figure 4I) and are also the level at which other ChREBP activators, such as glycerol, enter the glycolytic carbon pool. Our data, therefore, support the idea of triosephosphates as ChREBP activators, as has been previously proposed,¹³ and link these metabolites to reductive stress. Interestingly. ChREBP itself may influence redox status. as its deletion increases cytosolic NADH/NAD⁺,⁴⁹ which may suggest that ChREBP operates in a reductive stress feedback loop by lowering reductive stress when it becomes elevated.

Second, our findings help explain the metabolic pleiotropy of GCKR. GCKR encodes GKRP, a primarily liver-specific protein, which allosterically inhibits glucokinase during periods of fasting.⁵⁰ A common P446L missense variant in GKRP, which affects its inhibition of glucokinase activity,⁵ has been linked to over a hundred different GWAS associations. Although this stems in part from the relatively high frequency of the rs1260326 GCKR variant, which approaches 50% in some populations,⁵¹ the breadth of GCKR-associated traits is difficult to explain by its canonical role as a regulator of hepatic glycolytic flux. We demonstrate here that the NADH-dependent transcriptional activity of ChREBP likely mediates GCKR-associated traits such as FGF21 and certain lipid traits such as circulating triglycerides, and our data suggest that it is a primarily transcriptional mechanism contributing to GCKR's influence on triglycerides, rather than its predicted effects on malonyl-CoA, as originally proposed.⁵ Although the full extent to which GCKR-associated traits are due to ChREBP activation remains to be defined, we note that it is likely that some GCKR-associated traits, such as circulating glucose levels, are independent of ChREBP and a direct result of increased hepatic glycolysis mediated by GCKR variants. We further speculate that FGF21 may contribute to GCKR metabolic pleiotropy through its induction by ChREBP. FGF21 is a known ChREBP target,⁵² FGF21 is linked to GCKR via GWASs,⁸ reductive stress is sufficient to increase hepatic FGF21 levels (Figure 6), and ethanol's induction of FGF21 appears dependent on ChREBP (Figure 6). More importantly, FGF21 has been shown to influence traits that are associated with GCKR variants through human genetics. For example, GCKR influences measures of renal function such as urinary sodium excretion⁵³ and glomerular filtration rate,⁵⁴ which, in a recent Mendelian randomization study, was associated with a genetic proxied FGF2155 and with internally consistent directional effects. GCKR variants also influence alcohol consumption,¹⁰ which has been shown to be directly modulated by FGF21.56

Finally, our work suggests a role for reductive stress in human disease. Fatty liver disease is the most common form of liver disease in the world, 57 and in its end stage, it is the leading indication for liver transplantation in the United States.⁵⁸ Our data support the idea that reductive stress is a metabolic feature of NAFLD, and as alcohol metabolism is a robust source of hepatic reductive stress, it is likely a shared causal mechanism contributing to both alcohol and non-alcohol-related fatty liver disease and is further a likely metabolic contributor to the elevated triglycerides and circulating FGF21 seen in both conditions^{39,59} via the activation of ChREBP. As such, therapeutically targeting hepatic reductive stress might prove to be a novel strategy to target hepatic fat accumulation from both alcohol and nonalcohol causes. We also note that our work provides a potential mechanism linking SLC16A11 to metabolic disease. A type 2 diabetes risk haplotype in SLC16A11 confers a substantial (~20%) increased diabetes risk in Mexico,⁶⁰ and the risk haplotype has been shown to increase the cytosolic NADH/NAD+ ratio.⁶¹ SLC16A11's activation of ChREBP via an increased cytosolic NADH/NAD⁺ ratio could plausibly influence hepatic insulin resistance and diabetes risk via the modulation of ChREBP activity.62

An additional outstanding question concerns the underlying source of elevated hepatic stress in patients with NAFLD, as well as the mechanism underlying lower reductive stress after bariatric surgery. We speculate that obesity itself could increase hepatic reductive stress via increased delivery of free fatty acids to the liver in the setting of excess adiposity, which through β -oxidation would be a direct source of elevated hepatic NADH, although this remains to be proven.

In sum, by directly manipulating cytosolic NADH/NAD⁺ *in vivo* with *Lb*NOX, the new genetic tool *E*cSTH, ethanol oxidation, and clamped lactate/pyruvate ratios, we have demonstrated that this critical metabolic parameter influences the activity of the transcription factor ChREBP, which, in turn, influences multiple metabolic traits. This NADH/NAD⁺-ChREBP axis can be influenced in multiple ways: through human genetics via common *GCKR* polymorphisms, through the consumption of alcohol, and likely through risk factors for NAFLD such as obesity. Together, our work adds to the growing evidence for the importance of reductive stress as a critical metabolic parameter influencing human metabolism and disease.



CellPress

Limitations of the study

Our data do not address well-established physiologic and genetic influences on NADH/NAD⁺ biology and hepatic physiology, which may have important effects on a *GCKR*-NADH/NAD⁺-ChREBP axis. For example, circadian rhythms influence both the hepatic transcriptome⁶³ and redox state,⁶⁴ and glucose levels can influence GCK expression,⁶⁵ which would make feeding/fasting status an important variable in our data. We also note that the C57BL/6J strain used in our work lacks nicotinamide nucleotide transhydrogenase (NNT), which influences cellular redox state⁶⁶ and may contribute to metabolic differences between the C57BL/6N and C57BL/6J strains. As such, future studies examining differences in reductive stress and ChREBP biology between these strains are warranted.

Second, our work does not fully account for the metabolic or transcriptional effects of reductive stress. It appears, for example, that not all genes induced by reductive stress can be attributed to ChREBP activation (Figure 2D), nor does ChREBP appear to entirely mediate GCKR-associated traits that are increased by ethanol outside of FGF21, which suggests ChREBP-independent effects of reductive stress. This is not surprising, given that transcriptional changes due to alterations in metabolite levels are multifactorial, and there are established mechanisms linking NADH/NAD+ biology to transcription outside of ChREBP. For example, sirtuins are important regulators of transcription, and SIRT1 activity appears to be influenced by both local variations of NAD⁺ concentrations in the nucleus⁶⁷ and variations in NADH levels linked to circadian cycles.68 Changes in mitochondrial redox metabolism have been linked to changes in histone acetylation, methylation, and gene transcription,69 and reductives stress could plausibly influence the epigenome.

We also note that our intracellular metabolomics screen for metabolites correlated with ChREBP activation was performed in a 293T cell line, which is expected to have significant metabolic differences compared with hepatic metabolism *in vivo*, particularly with native *GCKR* or *GCK* expression and pentose phosphate pathway metabolism. It is thus possible that the metabolic activation of ChREBP in these different contexts (cell culture versus in the liver *in vivo*) could differ.

Finally, although we have demonstrated that reductive stress is sufficient to activate ChREBP, the precise mechanism underlying the activation is unclear. Our work supports the established idea that ChREBP senses changes in the abundance of phospho-ester glycolysis intermediates, and we have linked reductive-stress-induced ChREBP activation, in particular, to the abundance of F1/2–6BP, GAP, and G3P, with GAP showing the highest correlation with ChREBP activity, although further efforts will be required to elucidate the mechanism linking these intermediates to ChREBP activation.

STAR * METHODS

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

- KEY RESOURCES TABLE
- RESOURCE AVAILABILITY
 - Lead contact

- Materials availability
- Data and code availability
- EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Cell Metabolism

Article

- Animal Studies
- Primary Hepatocyte Experiments
- HepaRG cell culture
- Human studies
- METHOD DETAILS
 - RNA sequencing and downstream analyses
 - qPCR
 - Lentivirus production
 - Luciferase Reporter Assays and Plasmid Construction
 - FGF21 measurements
 - Triglyceride estimation in liver tissue
 - Western Blotting
 - GSEA Analyses of human liver and organoid RNAseq data sets
 - Trait analysis from *GCKR*, ChREBP, and *FGF21* variants
 - Mass spectrometry measurements
- QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental information can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cmet.2023.11.010.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

R.P.G. was supported by the US National Institutes of Health (NIH) grants K08DK1158811, R03DK131243, and R01DK134675; a Pinnacle Research Award from the American Association for the Study of Liver Disease (AASLD); and a Burroughs Wellcome Career Award for Medical Scientists (CAMS). V.C. was supported by the NIH grants K99GM121856, R35GM142495, and R03AG067301 and Longevity Impetus Grants. V.K.M. is an Investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute and was additionally supported by the NIH grant R35GM122455 and the Marriott Foundation. We thank the Harvard University Biopolymers Facility for assistance with RNA sequencing and the University of Chicago Medicine Comprehensive Cancer Center for assistance with metabolomics measurements (RRID:SCR_022932).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

R.P.G. supervised the study. C.S., B.J., N.S., V.K.M., and R.P.G. designed experiments. C.S., B.J., N.S., A.L.M., and R.P.G. performed experiments. A.P. and S.E.C. assisted with data analysis. C.S., B.J., and R.P.G analyzed the results and wrote the manuscript. K.E.C. and J.S. obtained and provided human clinical samples. A.C.M. and A.B.S. assisted with the interpretation of data. H.S., C.B.C., and A.D. assisted with metabolomics experiments. O.G. assisted with mouse work and mouse colony management. V.C., X.P., and A.L.Z. provided the *Ec*STH tool. All authors read and edited the manuscript.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

V.K.M. and V.C. are listed as inventors on a patent application filed by Massachusetts General Hospital on the therapeutic uses of *Lb*NOX. V.K.M. is a scientific advisor to and receives equity from 5AM Ventures. A.C.M. received research support from Boehringer Ingelheim and GlaxoSmithKline for other projects not related to this work.

Received: December 15, 2022 Revised: July 24, 2023 Accepted: November 21, 2023 Published: December 14, 2023

Cell Metabolism Article

REFERENCES

- Goodman, R.P., Markhard, A.L., Shah, H., Sharma, R., Skinner, O.S., Clish, C.B., Deik, A., Patgiri, A., Hsu, Y.-H.H., Masia, R., et al. (2020). Hepatic NADH reductive stress underlies common variation in metabolic traits. Nature 583, 122–126.
- van Schaftingen, E. (1989). A protein from rat liver confers to glucokinase the property of being antagonistically regulated by fructose 6-phosphate and fructose 1-phosphate. Eur. J. Biochem. 179, 179–184.
- Hale, C., Lloyd, D.J., Pellacani, A., and Véniant, M.M. (2015). Molecular targeting of the GK-GKRP pathway in diabetes. Expert Opin. Ther. Targets 19, 129–139.
- 4. Diabetes Genetics Initiative of Broad Institute of Harvard and MIT, Lund University, and Novartis Institutes of BioMedical Research, Saxena, R., Voight, B.F., Lyssenko, V., Burtt, N.P., de Bakker, P.I., Chen, H., Roix, J.J., Kathiresan, S., Hirschhorn, J.N., et al. (2007). Genome-wide association analysis identifies loci for type 2 diabetes and triglyceride levels. Science 316, 1331–1336.
- Beer, N.L., Tribble, N.D., McCulloch, L.J., Roos, C., Johnson, P.R.V., Orho-Melander, M., and Gloyn, A.L. (2009). The P446L variant in GCKR associated with fasting plasma glucose and triglyceride levels exerts its effect through increased glucokinase activity in liver. Hum. Mol. Genet. 18, 4081–4088.
- Speliotes, E.K., Yerges-Armstrong, L.M., Wu, J., Hernaez, R., Kim, L.J., Palmer, C.D., Gudnason, V., Eiriksdottir, G., Garcia, M.E., Launer, L.J., et al. (2011). Genome-wide association analysis identifies variants associated with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease that have distinct effects on metabolic traits. PLoS Genet. 7, e1001324.
- Wang, J., Liu, S., Wang, B., Miao, Z., Han, L., Chu, N., Zhang, K., Meng, D., Li, C., and Ma, X. (2012). Association between gout and polymorphisms in GCKR in male Han Chinese. Hum. Genet. *131*, 1261–1265.
- Cheung, C.Y.Y., Tang, C.S., Xu, A., Lee, C.H., Au, K.W., Xu, L., Fong, C.H.Y., Kwok, K.H.M., Chow, W.S., Woo, Y.C., et al. (2017). An exomechip association analysis in Chinese subjects reveals a functional missense variant of *GCKR* that regulates FGF21 levels. Diabetes 66, 1723–1728.
- Coffee and Caffeine Genetics Consortium, Cornelis, M.C., Byrne, E.M., Esko, T., Nalls, M.A., Ganna, A., Paynter, N., Monda, K.L., Amin, N., Fischer, K., et al. (2015). Genome-wide meta-analysis identifies six novel loci associated with habitual coffee consumption. Mol. Psychiatry 20, 647–656.
- 10. Schumann, G., Liu, C., O'Reilly, P., Gao, H., Song, P., Xu, B., Ruggeri, B., Amin, N., Jia, T., Preis, S., et al. (2016). KLB is associated with alcohol drinking, and its gene product β-klotho is necessary for FGF21 regulation of alcohol preference. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *113*, 14372–14377.
- Yamashita, H., Takenoshita, M., Sakurai, M., Bruick, R.K., Henzel, W.J., Shillinglaw, W., Arnot, D., and Uyeda, K. (2001). A glucose-responsive transcription factor that regulates carbohydrate metabolism in the liver. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *98*, 9116–9121.
- lizuka, K., Bruick, R.K., Liang, G., Horton, J.D., and Uyeda, K. (2004). Deficiency of carbohydrate response element-binding protein (ChREBP) reduces lipogenesis as well as glycolysis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 101, 7281–7286.
- Kim, M.S., Krawczyk, S.A., Doridot, L., Fowler, A.J., Wang, J.X., Trauger, S.A., Noh, H.L., Kang, H.J., Meissen, J.K., Blatnik, M., et al. (2016). ChREBP regulates fructose-induced glucose production independently of insulin signaling. J. Clin. Invest. *126*. 4372–438.
- Liangpunsakul, S., Ross, R.A., and Crabb, D.W. (2013). Activation of carbohydrate response element-binding protein by ethanol. J. Investig. Med. 61, 270–277.
- Titov, D.V., Cracan, V., Goodman, R.P., Peng, J., Grabarek, Z., and Mootha, V.K. (2016). Complementation of mitochondrial electron transport chain by manipulation of the NAD⁺/NADH ratio. Science 352, 231–235.
- Pan X., Heacock M.L., Abdulaziz E.N., Violante S., Zuckerman A.L., Shrestha N., Yao C., Goodman R.P., Cross J.R., Cracan V. A genetically

encoded tool to increase cellular NADH/NAD⁺ ratio in living cells. Nat. Chem. Biol. 2023. Published online October 26, 2023. https://doi.org/10. 1038/s41589-023-01460-w.

- Geng, L., Lam, K.S.L., and Xu, A. (2020). The therapeutic potential of FGF21 in metabolic diseases: from bench to clinic. Nat. Rev. Endocrinol. 16, 654–667.
- Romeo, S., Kozlitina, J., Xing, C., Pertsemlidis, A., Cox, D., Pennacchio, L.A., Boerwinkle, E., Cohen, J.C., and Hobbs, H.H. (2008). Genetic variation in PNPLA3 confers susceptibility to nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Nat. Genet. 40, 1461–1465.
- Liao, Y., Wang, J., Jaehnig, E.J., Shi, Z., and Zhang, B. (2019). WebGestalt 2019: gene set analysis toolkit with revamped UIs and APIs. Nucleic Acids Res. 47, W199–W205.
- Abdul-Wahed, A., Guilmeau, S., and Postic, C. (2017). Sweet sixteenth for ChREBP: established roles and future goals. Cell Metab. 26, 324–341.
- lizuka, K., Miller, B., and Uyeda, K. (2006). Deficiency of carbohydrateactivated transcription factor ChREBP prevents obesity and improves plasma glucose control in leptin-deficient (ob/ob) mice. Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab. 291, E358–E364.
- Keenan, A.B., Torre, D., Lachmann, A., Leong, A.K., Wojciechowicz, M.L., Utti, V., Jagodnik, K.M., Kropiwnicki, E., Wang, Z., and Ma'ayan, A. (2019). ChEA3: transcription factor enrichment analysis by orthogonal omics integration. Nucleic Acids Res. 47, W212–W224.
- Krebs, H.A. (1967). The redox state of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide in the cytoplasm and mitochondria of rat liver. Adv. Enzyme Regul. 5, 409–434.
- Kabashima, T., Kawaguchi, T., Wadzinski, B.E., and Uyeda, K. (2003). Xylulose 5-phosphate mediates glucose-induced lipogenesis by xylulose 5-phosphate-activated protein phosphatase in rat liver. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 100, 5107–5112.
- Dentin, R., Tomas-Cobos, L., Foufelle, F., Leopold, J., Girard, J., Postic, C., and Ferré, P. (2012). Glucose 6-phosphate, rather than xylulose 5-phosphate, is required for the activation of ChREBP in response to glucose in the liver. J. Hepatol. 56, 199–209.
- 26. Li, M.V., Chen, W., Harmancey, R.N., Nuotio-Antar, A.M., Imamura, M., Saha, P., Taegtmeyer, H., and Chan, L. (2010). Glucose-6-phosphate mediates activation of the carbohydrate responsive binding protein (ChREBP). Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 395, 395–400.
- Arden, C., Tudhope, S.J., Petrie, J.L., Al-Oanzi, Z.H., Cullen, K.S., Lange, A.J., Towle, H.C., and Agius, L. (2012). Fructose 2,6-bisphosphate is essential for glucose-regulated gene transcription of glucose-6-phosphatase and other ChREBP target genes in hepatocytes. Biochem. J. 443, 111–123.
- Agius, L., Chachra, S.S., and Ford, B.E. (2020). The protective role of the carbohydrate response element binding protein in the liver: the metabolite perspective. Front. Endocrinol. (Lausanne) *11*, 594041.
- 29. Rhee, E.P., Ho, J.E., Chen, M.H., Shen, D., Cheng, S., Larson, M.G., Ghorbani, A., Shi, X., Helenius, I.T., O'Donnell, C.J., et al. (2013). A genome-wide association study of the human metabolome in a community-based cohort. Cell Metab. *18*, 130–143.
- 30. Leite, S.B., Roosens, T., El Taghdouini, A., Mannaerts, I., Smout, A.J., Najimi, M., Sokal, E., Noor, F., Chesne, C., and van Grunsven, L.A. (2016). Novel human hepatic organoid model enables testing of druginduced liver fibrosis in vitro. Biomaterials 78, 1–10.
- Cuykx, M., Claes, L., Rodrigues, R.M., Vanhaecke, T., and Covaci, A. (2018). Metabolomics profiling of steatosis progression in HepaRG[®] cells using sodium valproate. Toxicol. Lett. 286, 22–30.
- Kimura, M., Iguchi, T., Iwasawa, K., Dunn, A., Thompson, W.L., Yoneyama, Y., Chaturvedi, P., Zorn, A.M., Wintzinger, M., Quattrocelli, M., et al. (2022). En masse organoid phenotyping informs metabolic-associated genetic susceptibility to NASH. Cell 185, 4216–4232.e16.
- 33. Govaere, O., Cockell, S., Tiniakos, D., Queen, R., Younes, R., Vacca, M., Alexander, L., Ravaioli, F., Palmer, J., Petta, S., et al. (2020). Transcriptomic profiling across the nonalcoholic fatty liver disease



CellPress

spectrum reveals gene signatures for steatohepatitis and fibrosis. Sci. Transl. Med. *12*, 1–18.

- 34. Kim, W., Deik, A., Gonzalez, C., Gonzalez, M.E., Fu, F., Ferrari, M., Churchhouse, C.L., Florez, J.C., Jacobs, S.B.R., Clish, C.B., et al. (2019). Polyunsaturated fatty acid desaturation is a mechanism for glycolytic NAD⁺ recycling. Cell Metab. 29, 856–870.e7.
- 35. Rhee, E.P., Yang, Q., Yu, B., Liu, X., Cheng, S., Deik, A., Pierce, K.A., Bullock, K., Ho, J.E., Levy, D., et al. (2016). An exome array study of the plasma metabolome. Nat. Commun. 7, 12360.
- Fernandes Silva, L., Vangipurapu, J., Kuulasmaa, T., and Laakso, M. (2019). An intronic variant in the GCKR gene is associated with multiple lipids. Sci. Rep. 9, 10240.
- 37. Costanzo, M.C., von Grotthuss, M., Massung, J., Jang, D., Caulkins, L., Koesterer, R., Gilbert, C., Welch, R.P., Kudtarkar, P., Hoang, Q., et al. (2023). The Type 2 Diabetes Knowledge Portal: an open access genetic resource dedicated to type 2 diabetes and related traits. Cell Metab. 35, 695–710.e6.
- 38. Jang, C., Hui, S., Zeng, X., Cowan, A.J., Wang, L., Chen, L., Morscher, R.J., Reyes, J., Frezza, C., Hwang, H.Y., et al. (2019). Metabolite exchange between mammalian organs quantified in pigs. Cell Metab. 30, 594–606.e3.
- Yilmaz, Y., Eren, F., Yonal, O., Kurt, R., Aktas, B., Celikel, C.A., Ozdogan, O., Imeryuz, N., Kalayci, C., and Avsar, E. (2010). Increased serum FGF21 levels in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Eur. J. Clin. Invest. 40, 887–892.
- 40. Subramanian, A., Tamayo, P., Mootha, V.K., Mukherjee, S., Ebert, B.L., Gillette, M.A., Paulovich, A., Pomeroy, S.L., Golub, T.R., Lander, E.S., et al. (2005). Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *102*, 15545–15550.
- Mootha, V.K., Lindgren, C.M., Eriksson, K.F., Subramanian, A., Sihag, S., Lehar, J., Puigserver, P., Carlsson, E., Ridderstråle, M., Laurila, E., et al. (2003). PGC-1alpha-responsive genes involved in oxidative phosphorylation are coordinately downregulated in human diabetes. Nat. Genet. 34, 267–273.
- 42. Hoang, S.A., Oseini, A., Feaver, R.E., Cole, B.K., Asgharpour, A., Vincent, R., Siddiqui, M., Lawson, M.J., Day, N.C., Taylor, J.M., et al. (2019). Gene expression predicts histological severity and reveals distinct molecular profiles of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Sci. Rep. 9, 12541.
- 43. Pellegrina, D., Prayitno, K., Azhie, A., Pasini, E., Baciu, C., Fischer, S., Reimand, J., and Bhat, M. (2023). Transcriptomic changes in liver transplant recipients with non-alcoholic steatohepatitis indicate dysregulation of wound healing. Front. Endocrinol. (Lausanne) 14, 1111614.
- 44. Ahrens, M., Ammerpohl, O., Von Schönfels, W., Kolarova, J., Bens, S., Itzel, T., Teufel, A., Herrmann, A., Brosch, M., Hinrichsen, H., et al. (2013). DNA methylation analysis in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease suggests distinct disease-specific and remodeling signatures after bariatric surgery. Cell Metab. 18, 296–302.
- 45. Shantavasinkul, P.C., Muehlbauer, M.J., Bain, J.R., Ilkayeva, O.R., Craig, D.M., Newgard, C.B., Svetkey, L.P., Shah, S.H., and Torquati, A. (2018). Improvement in insulin resistance after gastric bypass surgery is correlated with a decline in plasma 2-hydroxybutyric acid. Surg. Obes. Relat. Dis. *14*, 1126–1132.
- 46. Mummadi, R.R., Kasturi, K.S., Chennareddygari, S., and Sood, G.K. (2008). Effect of bariatric surgery on nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 6, 1396–1402.
- Landaas, S., and Pettersen, J.E. (1975). Clinical conditions associated with urinary excretion of 2-hydroxybutyric acid. Scand. J. Clin. Lab. Invest. 35, 259–266.
- Goodman, R.P., Calvo, S.E., and Mootha, V.K. (2018). Spatiotemporal compartmentalization of hepatic NADH and NADPH metabolism. J. Biol. Chem. 293, 7508–7516.

49. Burgess, S.C., lizuka, K., Jeoung, N.H., Harris, R.A., Kashiwaya, Y., Veech, R.L., Kitazume, T., and Uyeda, K. (2008). Carbohydrate-response element-binding protein deletion alters substrate utilization producing an energy-deficient liver. J. Biol. Chem. 283, 1670–1678.

Cell Metabolism

Article

- Raimondo, A., Rees, M.G., and Gloyn, A.L. (2015). Glucokinase regulatory protein: complexity at the crossroads of triglyceride and glucose metabolism. Curr. Opin. Lipidol. 26, 88–95.
- Machiela, M.J., and Chanock, S.J. (2015). LDlink: A web-based application for exploring population-specific haplotype structure and linking correlated alleles of possible functional variants. Bioinformatics *31*, 3555–3557.
- Iizuka, K., Takeda, J., and Horikawa, Y. (2009). Glucose induces FGF21 mRNA expression through ChREBP activation in rat hepatocytes. FEBS Lett. 583, 2882–2886.
- 53. Pazoki, R., Evangelou, E., Mosen-Ansorena, D., Pinto, R.C., Karaman, I., Blakeley, P., Gill, D., Zuber, V., Elliott, P., Tzoulaki, I., et al. (2019). GWAS for urinary sodium and potassium excretion highlights pathways shared with cardiovascular traits. Nat. Commun. 10, 3653.
- Köttgen, A., Pattaro, C., Böger, C.A., Fuchsberger, C., Olden, M., Glazer, N.L., Parsa, A., Gao, X., Yang, Q., Smith, A.V., et al. (2010). New loci associated with kidney function and chronic kidney disease. Nat. Genet. 42, 376–384.
- 55. Giontella, A., Zagkos, L., Geybels, M., Larsson, S.C., Tzoulaki, I., Mantzoros, C.S., Andersen, B., Gill, D., and Cronjé, H.T. (2023). Renoprotective effects of genetically proxied fibroblast growth factor 21: Mendelian randomization, proteome-wide and metabolome-wide association study. Metabolism 145, 155616.
- Flippo, K.H., Trammell, S.A.J., Gillum, M.P., Aklan, I., Perez, M.B., Yavuz, Y., Smith, N.K., Jensen-Cody, S.O., Zhou, B., Claflin, K.E., et al. (2022). FGF21 suppresses alcohol consumption through an amygdalo-striatal circuit. Cell Metab. 34, 317–328.e6.
- 57. Younossi, Z.M., Stepanova, M., Afendy, M., Fang, Y., Younossi, Y., Mir, H., and Srishord, M. (2011). Changes in the prevalence of the most common causes of chronic liver diseases in the United States from 1988 to 2008. Clin. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 9. 524–530.e1; quiz e60.
- 58. Parrish, N.F., Feurer, I.D., Matsuoka, L.K., Rega, S.A., Perri, R., and Alexopoulos, S.P. (2019). The changing face of liver transplantation in the United States: the effect of HCV antiviral eras on transplantation trends and outcomes. Transplant. Direct 5, e427.
- Wagner-Skacel, J., Horvath, A., Grande, P., Wenninger, J., Matzer, F., Fazekas, C., Mörkl, S., Meinitzer, A., and Stadlbauer, V. (2021). Association of fibroblast growth factor 21 with alcohol consumption and alcohol liver cirrhosis. Neuropsychiatr. 35, 140–146.
- 60. SIGMA Type 2 Diabetes Consortium, Williams, A.L., Jacobs, S.B., Moreno-Macías, H., Huerta-Chagoya, A., Churchhouse, C., Márquez-Luna, C., García-Ortíz, H., Gómez-Vázquez, M.J., Burtt, N.P., et al. (2014). Sequence variants in SLC16A11 are a common risk factor for type 2 diabetes in Mexico. Nature 506, 97–101.
- 61. Kim, W., Deik, A., Gonzalez, C., Gonzalez, M.E., Fu, F., Ferrari, M., Churchhouse, C.L., Florez, J.C., Jacobs, S.B.R., Clish, C.B., et al. (2019). Polyunsaturated fatty acid desaturation is a mechanism for glycolytic NAD⁺ recycling. Cell Metab. 29, 856–870.e7.
- 62. Dentin, R., Benhamed, F., Hainault, I., Fauveau, V., Foufelle, F., Dyck, J.R.B., Girard, J., and Postic, C. (2006). Liver-Specific Inhibition of ChREBP Improves Hepatic steatosis and insulin Resistance in ob/ob Mice. Diabetes 55, 2159–2170.
- **63.** Guan, D., and Lazar, M.A. (2022). Circadian regulation of gene expression and metabolism in the liver. Semin. Liver Dis. *42*, 113–121.
- 64. Ramsey, K.M., Yoshino, J., Brace, C.S., Abrassart, D., Kobayashi, Y., Marcheva, B., Hong, H.K., Chong, J.L., Buhr, E.D., Lee, C., et al. (2009). Circadian clock feedback cycle through NAMPT-mediated NAD⁺ biosynthesis. Science *324*, 651–654.
- Arden, C., Petrie, J.L., Tudhope, S.J., Al-Oanzi, Z., Claydon, A.J., Beynon, R.J., Towle, H.C., and Agius, L. (2011). Elevated glucose represses liver

Cell Metabolism Article



glucokinase and induces its regulatory protein to safeguard hepatic phosphate homeostasis. Diabetes 60, 3110–3120.

- 66. Ronchi, J.A., Figueira, T.R., Ravagnani, F.G., Oliveira, H.C.F., Vercesi, A.E., and Castilho, R.F. (2013). A spontaneous mutation in the nicotinamide nucleotide transhydrogenase gene of C57BL/6J mice results in mitochondrial redox abnormalities. Free Radic. Biol. Med. 63, 446–456.
- 67. Aguilar-Arnal, L., Ranjit, S., Stringari, C., Orozco-Solis, R., Gratton, E., and Sassone-Corsi, P. (2016). Spatial dynamics of SIRT1 and the subnuclear distribution of NADH species. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *113*, 12715–12720.
- Levine, D.C., Kuo, H.Y., Hong, H.K., Cedernaes, J., Hepler, C., Wright, A.G., Sommars, M.A., Kobayashi, Y., Marcheva, B., Gao, P., et al. (2021). NADH inhibition of SIRT1 links energy state to transcription during time-restricted feeding. Nat. Metab. 3, 1621–1632.
- Zhang, Q., Piston, D.W., and Goodman, R.H. (2002). Regulation of corepressor function by nuclear NADH. Science 295, 1895–1897.
- Corey, K.E., Pitts, R., Lai, M., Loureiro, J., Masia, R., Osganian, S.A., Gustafson, J.L., Hutter, M.M., Gee, D.W., Meireles, O.R., et al. (2022). ADAMTSL2 protein and a soluble biomarker signature identify at-risk nonalcoholic steatohepatitis and fibrosis in adults with NAFLD. J. Hepatol. 76, 25–33.
- Patro, R., Duggal, G., Love, M.I., Irizarry, R.A., and Kingsford, C. (2017). Salmon provides fast and bias-aware quantification of transcript expression. Nat. Methods *14*, 417–419.
- Love, M.I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 15, 550.

- 73. Lou, D.Q., Tannour, M., Selig, L., Thomas, D., Kahn, A., and Vasseur-Cognet, M. (1999). Chicken ovalbumin upstream promoter-transcription factor II, a new partner of the glucose response element of the L-type pyruvate kinase gene, acts as an inhibitor of the glucose response. J. Biol. Chem. 274, 28385–28394.
- Cajka, T., Smilowitz, J.T., and Fiehn, O. (2017). Validating quantitative untargeted lipidomics across nine liquid chromatography-high-resolution mass spectrometry platforms. Anal. Chem. 89, 12360–12368.
- 75. Argemi, J., Latasa, M.U., Atkinson, S.R., Blokhin, I.O., Massey, V., Gue, J.P., Cabezas, J., Lozano, J.J., Van Booven, D., Bell, A., et al. (2019). Defective HNF4alpha-dependent gene expression as a driver of hepato-cellular failure in alcoholic hepatitis. Nat. Commun. *10*, 3126.
- 76. Haas, J.T., Vonghia, L., Mogilenko, D.A., Verrijken, A., Molendi-Coste, O., Fleury, S., Deprince, A., Nikitin, A., Woitrain, E., Ducrocq-Geoffroy, L., et al. (2019). Transcriptional network analysis implicates altered hepatic immune function in NASH development and resolution. Nat. Metab. 1, 604–614.
- 77. Okahashi, N., Maeda, K., Kawana, S., Iida, J., Shimizu, H., and Matsuda, F. (2019). Sugar phosphate analysis with baseline separation and soft ionization by gas chromatography-negative chemical ionization-mass spectrometry improves flux estimation of bidirectional reactions in cancer cells. Metab. Eng. 51, 43–49.
- 78. Singh, C., Hoppe, G., Tran, V., McCollum, L., Bolok, Y., Song, W., Sharma, A., Brunengraber, H., and Sears, J.E. (2019). Serine and 1-carbon metabolism are required for HIF-mediated protection against retinopathy of prematurity. JCI Insight 4, 1–12.

CellPress

Cell Metabolism Article

STAR***METHODS**

KEY RESOURCES TABLE

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Antibodies		
ChREBP Novus	Novus	NB400-135: AB_10002435
Beta Actin	Cell Signaling technology	4970; RRID: AB 2223172
Goat anti-Rabbit IgG. HRP	Invitrogen	31460: AB 228341
Bacterial and virus strains		
	Veeter Pielebe	Ad CMV EASTH Flog
	Vector Biolabs	L ot no- 20230420#1
GFP adenovirus	Vector Biolabs	Ad-GEP
		Lot no-20230309#1
Biological samples		
Human blood samples	MGH NAFLD Repository ⁷⁰ and	NA
	Partners Biobank.	
Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins		
Sodium lactate	Sigma Aldrich	L7022
Sodium pyruvate	Sigma Aldrich	P5280
Liberase TM	Sigma Aldrich	LIBTH-RO
O-(2,3,4,5,6-Pentafluorobenzyl)hydroxylamine	Alfa Aesar Chemicals	A18368.03
hydrochloride		
Methanol	Fisher Chemicals	A456-4
Glutamax	Gibco	35050061
Penicillin-Streptomycin (10000 U/ml)	Gibco	15140122
HepaRG Growth Medium	Biopredic	ADD711C
HepaRG Differentiation Supplement	Biopredic	ADD721C
D-Ribose 5-phosphate disodium salt hydrate	Sigma Aldrich	R7750-10MG
DL-alpha-Glycerol phosphate magnesium salt hydrate	Sigma-Aldrich	17766-50G
D-Xylulose 5-phosphate lithium salt, \geq 90% (TLC)	Sigma-Aldrich	15732-1MG
Genticin	Gibco	10131027
Protease/phosphatase Inhibitor Cocktail (100X)	Cell Signaling Technology	5872S
Applied Biosystems TaqMan Fast Advanced Master Mix	Applied Biosystems	44-445-57
Iscript™ gDNA Clear cDNA Synthesis Kit	Bio-Rad	1725034
Pyridine, for HPLC, \geq 99.9%	Sigma Aldrich	270407-100ML
Ethanol, Absolute (200 Proof), Molecular Biology Grade, Fisher BioReagents	Fisher Scientific	BP2818-500
Fetal Bovine Serum	Gibco	26-140-079
Agilent DB-5MS 30m, 0.25mm, 0.25um, 10m DRGRD - JW	Agilent Technologies	122-5532G
Agilent DB-1 30m, 0.25mm, 0.25um, 10m DRGRD - JW	Agilent Technologies	122-1032G
Itaq™ Universal SYBR	Bio-Rad	1725121
William's E Medium, no glutamine	Thermo Scientific	12551032
OPTI-MEM	Gibco	31985-062
0.25% trypsin-EDTA	Gibco	25200-056
RIPA buffer	Boston BioProducts	BP-115
Tris Buffered Saline-Tween	Boston BioProducts	IBB-180
Western Lightning Plus-ECL	PerkinElmer	NEL105001EA
Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium	Gibco	11995-065
Critical commercial assays		
ELISA FGF21 mouse/rat	Sigma Aldrich	EZRMFGF21-26K Lot no# 3280681

(Continued on next page)

Cell Metabolism Article



Continued		
REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
RNeasy mini kit	Qiagen	74004
SuperScript III First-Strand Synthesis System	Invitrogen	12574026
Firefly & Renilla Luciferase Single Tube Assay Kit	Biotium	30081-1
Lipofectamine 3000 Transfection Reagent	Invitrogen	L300008
QIAprep Spin Miniprep Kit	Qiagen	27106
Glycogen Assay Kit (ab65620)	Abcam	ab65620
Deposited data		
RNAseq data	This paper	GEO: GSE227264, GSE227057, and GSE237068
Experimental models: Cell lines		
HepaRG	Biopedic inc	HPR101
Human: 293T cells	ATCC	CRL-3216
Experimental models: Organisms/strains		
Mouse C57BL6J	Jackson Lab	Jackson lab Stock ID 000664
Mouse ChREBP KO	Lizuka et. al. 2004	Jackson lab Stock ID 010537
Oligonucleotides		
Human ChBEBP Fwd: 5'-CAGACAGCAACAAGACCGAG-3'	Integrated DNA Technologies	NA
Human ChREBP Rev: 5'-GTCAAACCCCCAGCTTGATGT-3'	Integrated DNA Technologies	NA
Human GAPDH Fwd: 5'-GCTCTCTGCTCCTCCTGTT-3'	Integrated DNA Technologies	NA
Human GAPDH Rev: 5'-GCGCCCAATACGACCAAAT-3'	Integrated DNA Technologies	NA
Human ß-actin Fwd: 5'-AGAAAATCTGGCACCACACC-3'	Integrated DNA Technologies	NA
Human β-actin Rev: 5'-AGCACAGCCTGGATAGCAA-3'	Integrated DNA Technologies	NA
Mouse β-actin Fwd: 5'-TACTCTGTGTGGATCGGTGG-3'	Integrated DNA Technologies	NA
Mouse β-actin Rev: 5'-TCGTACTCCTGCTTGCTG AT-3'	Integrated DNA Technologies	NA
Mouse HPRT and ChREBP quantitech primer assays	Qiagen	Mm_Hprt_1_SG QT00166768 and Mm_wbscr14_1_SG QT00125335 respectively
Recombinant DNA		
pGL4.14[luc2/Hygro]	Promega	E669A
pGL4.75[hRluc/CMV]	Promega	E693A
pcDNA3.1-eGFP	Addgene	129020
pcDNA3.1-mCherry	Addgene	128744
pcDNA3.1-ChREBPα	Addgene	211754
pcDNA3.1-MIxγ	Addgene	211755
pcDNA3.1-LbNOX	This paper (derived from addgene 75285)	NA
pcDNA3.1-EcSTH	This paper	NA
pLJM1-GCK	Addgene (derived from Addgene 20492)	NA
Software and algorithms		
GraphPad Prism	Dotmatics	Version 10
Bio Render	BioRender	NA
R	The R Foundation for Statistical Computing	Version 4.2.2
Other		
Chow	Prolab	Isopro RMH 2000 5p75
Tricarballic acid	Sigma Aldrich	T53503
Triglyceride assay kit	Sekisui Diagnostics	Sekure 236-60
Methoxyamine	Sigma Aldrich	226904
MSTFA + 1% TMCS	ThermoFisher	TS48915
Immobilon®-P PVDF Membrane	MilliporeSigma	IPVH00010

CellPress

Cell Metabolism Article

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead contact

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Russell Goodman (rpgoodman@mgh.harvard.edu).

Materials availability

No unique reagents were generated in this study. Certain materials are shared with academic and non-profit research organizations for research only under an MTA.

Data and code availability

- RNA-seq data have been deposited at GEO under accession numbers GSE227264, GSE227057, and GSE237068, and are publicly available as of the date of publication.
- This paper does not report original code.
- Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Animal Studies

All animal experiments were approved by the Massachusetts General Hospital Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee, and all relevant ethical regulations were followed. Unless otherwise noted, all mice were group-housed and age-matched with access to administered chow (Prolab Isorpro RMH 3000 5p75) and water ad libitum in a pathogen-and temperature controlled room with a 12h:12h light-dark cycle, and sacrificed after a 4-6 hour AM fast with isoflurane anesthesia for euthanasia.

ChREBP KO mice, originally described in lizuka et al. (2004),¹² were obtained from the Jackson Laboratory (strain #010537; RRID:IMSR_JAX:010537). Experiments involving ChREBP KO mice used both male and female mice. All other mice were male C57BL/6J (strain #000664; RRID:IMSR_JAX:000664) mice aged 7-16 weeks purchased from The Jackson Laboratory unless noted otherwise.

The Figure 1 experiment involving alcohol gavages after *Lb*NOX or luciferase adenoviral tail vein inject ions were previously described.¹ For hepatic *Ec*STH and GFP expression, mice were retro-orbitally injected with 2E⁹ PFU adenovirus (Vector Biolabs) and sacrificed four days later.

For alcohol or water gavage experiments, an oral gavage 3.5 g/kg of alcohol or equivalent H_2O per body weight was given at the start of the experiment, followed by a second gavage of half the initial dose 1 h later. Mice received IP injections of fomepizole of 15 mg/kg 30 minutes before and 7.5 mg/kg 90 and 150 minutes after the initial gavage or equivalent volume H_2O for controls. Plasma and liver tissue were collected 4-6 hours after initial gavage under isoflurane anesthesia and stored at -80°C (plasma) or flash frozen in liquid nitrogen (liver) until further processing.

Primary Hepatocyte Experiments

Primary hepatocytes were isolated as previously described.¹ Briefly, primary hepatocytes were freshly isolated by hepatic perfusion and enzymatic digestion with Liberase TM (Sigma-Aldrich) followed by plating in six-well collagen-coated plates at a density of 4x10⁵ cells per well prior to subsequent experiments.

HepaRG cell culture

Undifferentiated HepaRG cells lines were purchased from Biopredic International. Undifferentiated cell lines were infected with GFP or *GCKR* overexpressing lentiviruses to have a stable expression of the gene of interest and passaged before using for actual experiments. Undifferentiated HepaRG cells were cultured in Williams E media supplemented with ADD711 supplement (Biopredic International) and 1% PenStrep (This media composition is referred to as proliferation media). These cells were maintained in this media for 14 days with media change every 1-2 days. Cells were differentiated by changing the media to 50% proliferation media and 50% of differentiation media. Differentiation media was prepared supplementing Williams E media with ADD721 and 1% Pen-Strep. After one day, media was changed to 100% differentiation media and cells were allowed to grow for 24h before harvesting the RNA for the RT-qPCR experiments and media for metabolomics experiments. *GCKR* transcript CCDS1757.1 was PCR flanked and amplified using

Fwd 5' TTAGTGAACCGTCAGATCCGCATGCCAGGCACAAAACGGT 3' and

Rev 5' TTGTCTCGAGGTCGAGAATTTCACTGAACGTCAGGCTCTA 3'. The amplified product was cloned into pLJM1 empty vector using NEBuilder HiFi DNA assembly cloning kit (New England Biolabs). pLJM1-Empty was a gift from Joshua Mendell (Addgene plasmid # 91980).

Cell Metabolism Article



Human studies

Blood samples from patients with NAFLD were obtained from the MGH NAFLD Cohort Registry which has been previously described.⁷⁰ In this cohort, blood samples and liver biopsies were obtained from patients undergoing bariatric surgery and hepatic fat accumulation was diagnosed on liver biopsy. Healthy controls were taken from the Partners Healthcare Biobank from patients with a Charlson Index of 0, the absence of diabetes, normal serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT), normal serum triglycerides, and a BMI between 18-25. All studies were approved by the Mass-General Brigham institutional review board and all participants provided written informed consent.

METHOD DETAILS

RNA sequencing and downstream analyses

RNA sequencing on livers from the Figure 1D experiment was performed at the Harvard University Biopolymers Facility for the *Lb*NOX/ethanol and ChREBP mice, and through Novogene for the *Ec*STH/GFP experiment. Briefly, total liver RNA was isolated from frozen liver tissue with RNeasy mini kits (Qiagen). For the *Lb*NOX/ethanol and ChREBP/ethanol experiments, mRNA was isolated with KAPA mRNA HyperPrep kit (Illumina) and sequenced on an Illumina NextSeq 500 (Harvard Biopolymers Facility). For the *Ec*STH/GFP experiment, mRNA was isolated with the NEBNext Ultra II RNA Library Prep Kit for (Illumina) and sequenced on a NovaSeq 6000 (Novogene). All RNAseq samples were aligned to the mouse reference genome (GRCm38 mm10) using Salmon 1.5.1,⁷¹ followed by count normalization and subsequent analysis with DESeq2 1.38.3.⁷² Sequencing data are available at Gene Expression Omnibus GSE227264, GSE227057, and GSE237068.

For the identification of NADH/NAD⁺ responsive genes in Figure 1D we first calculated all significantly changing genes in the *Lb*NOX/EtOH experiment (DESeq2, adjusted p<=0.05, n= 2038 genes). We then clustered these genes to find co-expressed modules (pheatmap R package v. 1.0.12 with row-normalized data with scaling), then identified the single cluster of genes that increased expression with ethanol but not the ethanol+LbNOX condition (n=831 genes). We then filtered these 831 genes (increased with ethanol but not ethanol + LbNOX) to those that additional increased with *Ec*STH expression *in vivo* compared to GFP (DESeq2, p <0.05, n=1560), yielding 160 NADH/NAD⁺ responsive genes.

ChREBP/EtOH responsive genes (Figure 2D) were identified in the same manner as NADH/NAD+ responsive gene in the *Lb*NOX/ EtOH experiment. We first calculated all significantly changing genes in the ChreBP/EtOH experiment (DESeq2, adjusted p<=0.01, n= 1296 genes), identified co-expressed modules using pheatmap, and identified the cluster of genes that increased expression with ethanol but not with ethanol+ChREBP KO (n=543 genes).

For enrichment analysis (Figure 2A), we performed over-representation Analysis (ORA) via WEB-based Gene SeT AnaLysis Toolkit 2019 (WebGestalt; https://www.webgestalt.org/¹⁹) using the Reactome database (Version 66, September 2018). The input gene set was our 160 NADH/NAD+ responsive genes using the Illumina mouseref 8 background (n=25,697).

Transcription factor enrichment analysis (Figures 2E and 2F) was performed using ChIP-X Enrichment Analysis Version 3 (ChEA3)²² using the indicated gene sets and the top rank integrated results. The *p* value for the overlap of ChREBP/EtOH and NADH/NAD⁺- responsive genes in Figure 2D was calculated using Chi square.

qPCR

For qPCR experiments in Figure S1, RNA was isolated using a Qiagen RNAeasy kit (Qiagen) and reverse transcribed using the SuperScript III First-Strand Synthesis System (Invitrogen) or iScript gDNA clear cDNA sythesis kit (Biorad). Quantitative PCR was performed using an Applied Biosystems 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR system, using the following primers from Thermo Fisher Scientific: G6pc (Mm00839363_m), Fasn (Mm00662319_m1) and Acaca (Mm01304257_m1). Hprt (Mm03024075_m1) was used as an internal control. The remaining qPCR experiments were performed on a Thermo Quant Studio 6 PCR system with the following custom-designed qPCR primers:

Human ChREBP Fwd: 5'-CAGACAGCAACAAGACCGAG-3' Human ChREBP Rev: 5'-GTCAAACCCCAGCTTGATGT-3' Human GAPDH Fwd: 5'-GCTCTCTGCTCCTCTGTT-3' Human GAPDH Rev: 5'-GCGCCCAATACGACCAAAT-3' Human β -actin Fwd: 5'-AGAAAATCTGGCACCACACC-3' Human β -actin Rev: 5'-AGCACAGCCTGGATAGCAA-3' Mouse β -actin Fwd: 5'-TACTCTGTGTGGATCGGTGG-3' Mouse β -actin Rev: 5'-TCGTACTCCTGCTTGCTG AT-3' Mouse HPRT and ChREBP QuantiTech primer assays use

Mouse HPRT and ChREBP QuantiTech primer assays used were purchased from Qiagen (Mm_Hprt_1_SG QT00166768 and Mm_wbscr14_1_SG QT00125335 respectively)

Applied Biosystems TaqMan Fast Advanced Master Mix or Itaq[™] Universal SYBR were used in the qPCR experiments, following quantities recommended by Vendors.

Lentivirus production

Human *GCKR* and GFP overexpression lentiviruses were prepared by transfecting VSV-G, psPAX2 and pLYS6-*GCKR* or plys6-GFP plasmids together into HEK293T cells. Virus particles were collected by filtering the supernatant from HEK293T cultures through 0.45 μ m syringe filter.



Cell Metabolism Article

Luciferase Reporter Assays and Plasmid Construction

For pcDNA3.1-ChREBP α and pcDNA3.1-MIx γ , Mouse ChREBP α (accession number NM_021455.5) and mouse MIx (accession number NM_011550.3) were synthesized by Genewiz (Suzhou, China) and cloned into pcDNA3.1 (Invitrogen). pcDNA3.1-eGFP was purchased from Addgene (Plasmid129020).¹ pcDNA3.1-*Lb*NOX was generated by subcloning *Lb*NOX (Addgene plasmid 75275) into pcDNA3.1 (Invitrogen). *EcSTH* was subcloned into pCDNA3.1 (Invitrogen) from pLVX-TetOne-Puro-EcSTH.¹⁶ pGL4.14 [luc2/Hygro]-ChoRE was generated via gene synthesis of a construct containing three consecutive mouse carbohydrate response elements (ChoRE) and the minimal LPK promoter⁷³(ATGGACGCCACGGGGCACTCCCGTGGTTCCTATGGACGCCACGGGG CACTCCCGTGGTTCCTATGGACGCCACGGGGCACTCCCGTGGTTCCTATGGACGCCACGGGG CACTCCCGTGGTTCCTATGGACGCCACGGGGCACTCCCGTGGTTCCTATGGACGCAGAGTATAAAGCA GACCCACAGACACAGCAGGTACGCAGCA) which was cloned upstream of *Photinus pyralis* luciferase reporter gene in pGL4.14 (Promega).

GCK transcript CCDS5479.1 was PCR flanked and amplified using Fwd 5' TTAGTGAACCGTCAGATCCGATGCTGGACGA CAGAGCCAGGA 3' and Rev 5' CTTGTACCCGGTAGCGCTAGTCACTGGCCCAGCATACAGGCC 3'. The amplified product was cloned into PLJM1 empty vector (Addgene) with the help of NEBuilder HiFi DNA assembly cloning kit (New England Biolabs). pLJM1-Empty was a gift from Joshua Mendell (Addgene plasmid # 91980).

To assay ChREBP's activity with the luciferase assay kit, HEK293T cells were seeded into 24 well plates for 24 hours before transfection. The next day, cells were co-transfected with the indicated combination of pcDNA3.1-ChREBPα, pcDNA3.1-MIxγ, pGL4.14 [luc2/Hygro]-ChoRE, pGL4.75[hRluc/CMV], PLJM1-hGCK, pcDNA3.1-*Lb*NOX, pcDNA3.1-EcSTH, or pcDNA3.1-GFP plasmids. Lipofectamine 3000 reagent (Invitrogen) was used for transfection for 5 hours, and media were replaced with DMEM (Gibco) with 10% fetal bovine serum for the next 48 hours. Cells were subsequently collected, and luciferase reporter assay was performed with the Firefly and Renilla Single Tube Luciferase Assay Kit (Biotium) following the manufacturer's provided protocol. Firefly and renilla luminescence were measured by Infinite M Plex (Tecan) plate reader. Firefly luciferase luminescence activity was normalized by Renilla luciferase luminescence to control for differences in cell number/well.

FGF21 measurements

Rodent plasma Fgf21 measurements were performed using Rat/Mouse ELISA kit from Sigma Aldrich (catalogue number-EZRMFGF21-26K; Lot number 3280681). Human FGF21 measurements were performed using an FGF-21 ELISA Kit from Millipore Sigma (catalogue number- EZHFGF21-19K).

Triglyceride estimation in liver tissue

Lipids were extracted from 10-20 mg of liver tissue following a prior published protocol⁷⁴ with some modifications. Briefly, 225 μ l of ice-cold methanol was added to the ground liver tissue, homogenized, and 750 μ l methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE) was added. The sample was vortexed for 20 mins, and 188 μ l of distilled water was added and centrifuged for 2 minutes at 14,000 x g. 700 μ l of the upper organic phase was transferred into a new tube and dried in a vacuum centrifuge (Vacufuge plus, Eppendorf). Extracted lipids were dissolved in 100 μ l of ethanol containing 1% Triton X- 100 (Sigma-Aldrich). The triglyceride concentration in extracted lipids was measured using a triglyceride-SL assay kit (Sekisui, Cat no. 236-60) and normalized to liver tissue weight.

Western Blotting

HEK293T cells transfected with pcDNA3.1-eGFP (negative control) or pcDNA3.1-ChREBP α were resuspended in radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer (Boston BioProducts) supplemented with Protease and Phosphatase Inhibitor Cocktail (Cell Signaling). The cells were incubated on ice for 30 min with intermittent vortexing. Lysates were then centrifuged at 16,000 RCF and 4 °C for 20 minutes, and the supernatant was stored at -80 °C until further use. Transferred polyvinylidene fluoride membranes were blocked in 5% skim milk in 1X TBST for 1 hour. Primary antibodies (ChREBP 1:1,000, Novusb Biologicals and β -Actin 1: 1,000, Cell Signaling) were added and the membranes were incubated overnight. Subsequently, the membranes were blotted with an anti-rabbit IgG-HRP secondary antibody (1:10,000, Invitrogen) and developed with a chemiluminescent substrate (Western Lightning Plus-ECL, PerkinElmer).

GSEA Analyses of human liver and organoid RNAseq data sets

GSEA^{40,75} was performed using GSEA software version 4.2.2 (http://software.broadinstitute.org/gsea/). We analyzed GEO data sets GSE130970,⁴² GSE135251,³³ GSE48452,⁴⁴ GSE83452,⁷⁶ dbGAP database phs001807,⁷⁵ and EBI databases E-MTAB-11688,⁴³ which contain RNAseq or microarray data of patients with and without hepatic steatosis and/or before or after bariatric surgery, and GSE213932 for human liver organoids derived from patients with different *GCKR* genotypes. Genes in each data-set were pre-ranked using the formula Rank = $-\log_{10}(P)^*(sign(log_2(fold changes)))$. For Figure 5, samples with TT versus CC genotypes were compared, which for GSE135251 was determined by FASTQ reads across rs1260326. For Figure 7 we compared samples with hepatic steatosis versus non-steatotic controls when this data was available (GSE130970, dbGAPphs001807, GSE13521, GSE48452, E-MTAB-11688), or pre and post bariatric surgery when steatosis information was not available (GSE83452) as indicated, with *P* calculated using Student's t test (paired for when paired biopsies were available, unpaired otherwise). GSEA was performed on these pre ranked gene lists using the 160 NADH-responsive genes derived from the RNAseq experiments in Figure 1 (Table S1).

Cell Metabolism Article



Trait analysis from GCKR, ChREBP, and FGF21 variants

For Figure 6I, phenotypes associated with either *GCKR*, *MLXIPL*, or *FGF21* were downloaded from the Type 2 Diabetes Knowledge Portal.³⁷ Significant traits were defined as a p-value of < 2.5E-6 calculated using the MAGMA (Multi-marker analysis of Genomic Annotation) method, which is a generally accepted threshold for significance of MAGMA results.

Mass spectrometry measurements

 α -hydroxybutyrate levels for the *Lb*NOX/ethanol and ethanol/fomepizole *in vivo* experiments (Figure 1C *Lb*NOX/Ethanol measurements, Figures S1B and S1E) measured on an LC-MS as previously described,¹ and the Figure 1C *Lb*NOX/Ethanol measurements and S1B measurements were previously reported.¹

For intracellular metabolite measurements of 293T cells (Figure 4), metabolomics was performed through the Metabolomics Platform at the Comprehensive Cancer Center at the University of Chicago. 48 hours after transfection with the specified plasmid, cells were washed with room-temperature PBS, immediately quenched with dry-ice-cold 80% methanol, and transferred to conical tubes. Samples were centrifuged at 20,000 g for 20 minutes at 4°C, the supernatant was dried down on a Genvevac EZ-2 4.0 elite evaporator, and the samples were resuspended in 100 uL of 60/40 acetonitrile-water. Metabolite separation was performed using Thermo Scientific Vanguish Horizon UHPLC system and iHILIC-(P) Classic (2.1x150 mm, 5 µm; part # 160.152.0520; HILICON AB) column. MS detection was done using an Orbitrap IQ-X Tribrid mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific) with a H-ESI probe operating in switch polarity. The mobile phase A (MPA) was 20 mM ammonium bicarbonate at pH 9.6, adjusted by ammonium hydroxide addition and mobile phase B (MPB) was acetonitrile. The column temperature, injection volume, and the flow rate were 40°C, 2 µL, and 0.2mL/minute, respectively. The chromatographic gradient was 0 minutes: 85% B, 0.5 minutes: 85% B, 18 minutes: 20% B, 20 minutes: 20% B, 20.5 minutes: 85% B and 28 minutes: 85% B. MS parameters were as follows: Acquisition range of 70-1000 m/z at 60K resolution, spray voltage: 3600V for positive ionization and 2800 for negative ionization modes, sheath gas: 35, auxiliary gas: 5, sweep gas: 1, ion transfer tube temperature: 250°C, vaporizer temperature: 350°C, AGC target: 100%, and a maximum injection time of 118 ms. AcquireX workflow was used to collect the MS/MS data in negative and positive separately using the assisted HCD collision energy 20,35,50,75,100 as well as targeted MS/MS with a defined retention time window for the in-house retention time database. Data acquisition was done using the Xcalibur software (Thermo Scientific) and data analysis was performed using Compound Discoverer 3.3 & Tracefinder 5.1 software (Thermo Scientific). Metabolite identification was done by matching the retention time and MS/MS fragmentation to the in-house database generated using the reference standards. In the data table, the "RT+MS/MS" indicates the matching retention time & MS/MS, "RT"-indicates the only matching retention time and doesn't have MS/MS while the MS/MS is for carnitine species identified based on the 85.02841+/5 ppm fragment.

For sugar phosphate measurements, cells were washed with 1 mL room temperature normal saline, which was immediately aspirated, at which point 1 mL -20°C chilled 80% methanol containing 0.1 µg/ml [¹³C₃] glyceraldehyde3P was added. Cells were scraped and then centrifuged at 15000 x g at 4°C for 5 min. Five-hundred microliters of supernatant were dried in a vacuum centrifuge and then derivatized per the method described in Okahashi et. al. (2019; PMID: 30176394) with slight modifications.⁷⁷ To the dried extract 50 µl of 20 mg/ml of o-(2,3,4,5,6-pentafluorobenzyl) hydroxylamine hydrochloride in pyridine was added, followed by incubation at 60°C for 1h. The pentafluorobenzyl oxime derivatives were further derivatized by adding 50 μl of MSTFA+1% TMCS and incubating at 60°C for 30 min. The samples were then briefly centrifuged at 15000 x g for 1 min and then packed in glass vials for measurement. Samples were measured in the negative mode of chemical ionization with methane as reagent gas and helium as carrier gas. One microliter of sample was injected into the 8890 GC connected to a DB-1 MS column (30 m x 0.25 mm x 0.25 μm) with 10m duraguard column coupled to 5977B mass spectrometer. The inlet temperature was set to 250°C, and helium flow was set to 13.071 psi with a septum purge flow of 3 ml/min. Samples were measured with a split ratio of 10:1. The column was set to 60°C for 2 min, then to 20°C/min to a temperature of 200°C, followed by 5°C/min to a final temperature of 260°C, this was followed by 2°C/min to a final temperature of 275°C, and then 20°C/min to a final temperature of 325°C and held for 2 min. A constant flow of 1.1ml/min was applied to separate the compounds on the column. A solvent delay of 11 min was applied. Samples were measured in SIM mode in negative chemical ionization mode. SIM ions used were 400 for unlabeled glyceraldehyde-3 phosphate, 403 for ¹³C₃ glyceraldehyde-3 phosphate, 604 for all pentose and pentulose 5 phosphates, 706 for hexose 6 phosphates, 544 for fructose1,6 bisphosphates. Retention times and ions were confirmed by running authentic standards for all the metabolites. A gain factor of 3x was applied for hexose phosphates and a gain factor of 5 was applied for fructose 1,6 bisphosphate. The remaining metabolite measurements were analyzed on a GC-MS as previously described.⁷⁸ 20 µL samples (media or plasma) were combined with 160 µL of methanol precooled to -80°C. 20 µL of 2 mM tricarballylic acid was added to this as an internal standard. Samples were then vortexed and incubated at -80°C for 10 min followed by centrifugation at 13000 x g for 5 min at 4°C. Samples were dried in a vacuum centrifuge, derivatized as previously reported,⁷⁸ and analyzed on a 8890 GC coupled to EI/CI 5977B mass selective detector mass spectrometer (Agilent) using an electron impact ionization extractor ion source. One µL of the sample was injected in split mode with 1:5 (split 5) of the sample entering the mass spectrometer. Full scan data was recorded with a scan window of 50 to 800 m/z. The front inlet heater was set to 250 °C with a septum purge flow of 3 mL/min. Samples were injected onto a DB-5ms dura guard inert 40 m × 250 μm × 0.25 µm column connected to MSD. Samples were measured in a constant flow mode with a helium flow rate set at 1.1 mL/min. The starting temperature of the oven was set at 60 °C for 1 min and then ramped at 10 °C/min to 325 °C to separate analytes, with a final hold time of 10 min.

For Figure 4, certain metabolites (e.g. Glyceradehyde-3-phosphate) were measured in both LC-MS and GC-MS methods in separate experiments and in such cases, we reported the highest correlation for such metabolites.



Cell Metabolism Article

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Data are reported as mean +/- SEM. Sample size is indicated in Figures with each individual data point representing an experimental replicate. Unless otherwise described below statistical analyses were performed using R version 4.2.2. *P* and adjusted *P* values for RNAseq data were calculated using DESeq2 version 1.38.3, which uses the Wald test for *P* calculations with Benjamini-Hochberg procedure for adjusted *P* value calculations. Students T-tests were used for analysis of individual RNAseq and QPCR transcript abundance, luciferase reporter assays, lipid measurements, L/P levels, FGF21, and mouse plasma α HB and acetate abundance. For traits that were previously linked to *GCKR* genetic variation in a specific direction (e.g. an increase in FGF21), one-tailed Student's *t* tests were used; otherwise two-tailed Student's *t* test were used. The correlation of intracellular metabolite abundance to luciferase activity was calculated using Pearson's correlation coefficient. One way ANOVA was used for analysis of luciferase abundance over increasing glucose concentration. The significance of overlapping gene sets was calculated using Chi-square analysis. Welch's T-tests were used for analysis of Human α HB, serum FGF21, and serum triglycerides.